



I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING DEPOSITED WITH THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE AS FIRST CLASS MAIL IN AN ENVELOPE ADDRESSED TO: ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231, ON THE DATE INDICATED BELOW.

BY: Cheryl Fisher DATE: March 14, 2003

PATENT

Box AF – RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.116  
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE  
EXAMINING GROUP 3745

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re: Patent Application of : Group Art Unit: 3745  
Haruo Kodama, et al. :  
Conf. No.: 2837 :  
Appln. No.: 09/652,090 :  
Filed: August 31, 2000 :  
For: HYDRAULIC DRIVE APPARATUS :

RECEIVED

MAR 26 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

: Examiner: F. D. Lopez  
: Attorney Docket  
No.: 9369-51US  
(T37-124467M/TH)

#12  
JH  
3-28-03

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION AFTER FINAL REJECTION

The following remarks are submitted in response to the Office Action mailed on November 15, 2002 (Paper No. 10). A Petition for Extension of Time (one month, to and including March 17, 2003 (March 15 being a Saturday)) under 37 C.F.R. §1.136(a) is being filed herewith.

REMARKS

Claims 1-5 are pending in the application. By previous response, claims 6 through 36 have been withdrawn from consideration in response to a restriction requirement.

Examiner's Response to Amendment

With respect to arguments set forth in Applicants' Amendment filed August 19, 2002, the Examiner contends that knowledge of the magnitude of the supply pressure (the

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**